UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,071	11/20/2003	James Chien-Chiung Chen	TUC920030132US1	2276
	7590 04/08/200 YNES & VICTOR, LL	EXAMINER		
ATTN: IBM37			LIN, KENNY S	
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212		1E 210	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/719,071	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenny S. Lin	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the an Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/13/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

2. The IDS submitted on 3/13/2007 is considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 9-11, 19-21 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tabuchi, US 2003/0037117.
- 5. As per claims 1, 11 and 21, Tabuchi taught the claimed invention including a method for assigning priorities, comprising:
 - a. Receiving a request to manipulate data (pp. 0034-0036);
 - b. Determining a type of the request (pp. 0035-0036, 0054-0055); and
 - c. Assigning a priority to the request based on the type of the request (pp. 0036-0037, 0054-0056).

Art Unit: 2152

6. As per claim 9, 19 and 29, Tabuchi taught the claimed invention as claimed in claims 1, 11 and 21. Tabuchi further taught to send a command to a secondary control unit, wherein the

command includes the request and the assigned priority (pp. 0054).

7. As per claim 10, 20 and 30, Tabuchi taught the claimed invention as claimed in claims 9

19 and 29. Tabuchi further taught that at the secondary control unit, using the priority assigned

to the request to process the request (pp. 0054).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2-6, 12-16, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabuchi, US 2003/0037117, in view of West et al (West), US 6,912,629.
- 10. As per claims 2-6, 12-16, 22-26, Tabuchi taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1, 11 and 21. Tabuchi further taught to assign the requests different priorities, receive host priority and map the host priority to high priority range (pp. 0035-0037, 0048-0049, 0054-0056). Tabuchi did not specifically disclose that the request is issued with synchronous PPRC command, asynchronous PPRC command, Extended Distance PPRC command. However, the

Art Unit: 2152

uses of PPRC commands are well known and expected in the art. West disclosed the use us PPRC, extended copying and asynchronous copying (col.1, lines 54-67, col.2, lines 1-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tabuchi and West and assign priorities over different types of commands including PPRC commands for processing.

- 11. Claims 7-8, 17-18 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabuchi, US 2003/0037117, in view of Meaney et al (Meaney), US 5,564,062.
- 12. As per claims 7-8, 17-18 and 27-28, Tabuchi taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1, 11 and 21. Tabuchi did not specifically teach to map the host priority based on at least one of pending request and available resource and updating a priority for a pending request. Meaney taught to assign and update priority based on pending request and resource availability (abstract, col.2, lines 5-29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Tabuchi and Meaney because Meaney's teaching of checking the availability of resource enables Tabuchi's method to avoid resource conflict and assign priority to requests accordingly.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Horvitz et al., US 2004/0143636.

Art Unit: 2152

Mirashrafi et al., US 5,574,934.

Micka et al., US 6,189,079.

Blea et al., US 2005/0108565.

14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire **THREE**

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3968. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tue.-Fri. and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kenny S Lin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2152

April 7, 2008